Parallel Architecture

Sathish Vadhiyar

Motivations of Parallel Computing

- Faster execution times
 - From days or months to hours or seconds
 - E.g., climate modelling, bioinformatics
- Large amount of data dictate parallelism
- Parallelism more natural for certain kinds of problems, e.g., climate modelling
- Due to computer architecture trends
 - CPU speeds have saturated
 - Slow memory bandwidths

Classification of Architectures – Flynn's classification

- In terms of parallelism in instruction and data stream
- Single Instruction Single Data (SISD): Serial Computers
- Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD)
 - Vector processors and processor arrays
 - Examples: CM-2, Cray-90, Cray YMP, Hitachi 3600



Courtesy: http://www.llnl.gov/computing/tutorials/parallel_comp/

Classification of Architectures – Flynn's

classification

- Multiple Instruction Single Data (MISD): Not popular
- Multiple Instruction Multiple Data (MIMD)
 - Most popular
 - IBM SP and most other supercomputers,

clusters, computational Grids etc.



Courtesy: http://www.llnl.gov/computing/tutorials/parallel_comp/

Classification of Architectures - Based on

Memory Shared memory

 2 types – UMA and NUMA

NUMA

Examples: HP-Exemplar, SGI Origin, Sequent NUMA-Q



Courtesy: http://www.llnl.gov/computing/tutorials/parallel_comp/

Classification 2:

Shared Memory vs Message Passing

- Shared memory machine: The n processors share physical address space
 - Communication can be done through this shared



The alternative is sometimes referred to as a message passing machine or a distributed memory machine

Shared Memory Machines

- The shared memory could itself be distributed among the processor nodes
 - Each processor might have some portion of the shared physical address space that is physically close to it and therefore accessible in less time
 - Terms: NUMA vs UMA architecture
 - Non-Uniform Memory Access
 - Uniform Memory Access

SHARED MEMORY AND CACHES

Shared Memory Architecture: Caches



Cache Coherence Problem

- If each processor in a shared memory multiple processor machine has a data cache
 - Potential data consistency problem: the cache coherence problem
 - Shared variable modification, private cache
- Objective: processes shouldn't read `stale' data

Solutions

Hardware: cache coherence mechanisms

Cache Coherence Protocols

- Write update propagate cache line to other processors on every write to a processor
- Write invalidate each processor gets the updated cache line whenever it reads stale data
- Which is better?

Invalidation Based Cache Coherence



Cache Coherence using invalidate protocols

- 3 states associated with data items
 - Shared a variable shared by 2 caches
 - Invalid another processor (say PO) has updated the data item
 - Dirty state of the data item in PO

State Diagram



Implementations of cache coherence protocols

Snoopy

- for bus based architectures
- shared bus interconnect where all cache controllers monitor all bus activity
- There is only one operation through bus at a time; cache controllers can be built to take corrective action and enforce coherence in caches
- Memory operations are propagated over the bus and snooped

Implementations of cache coherence protocols

Directory-based

- Instead of broadcasting memory operations to all processors, propagate coherence operations to relevant processors
- A central directory maintains states of cache blocks, associated processors

Implementation of Directory Based Protocols

- Using presence bits for the owner processors
 Two schemes:
- Full bit vector scheme O(MxP) storage for P processors and M cache lines
- But not necessary
- Modern day processors use sparse or tagged directory scheme
- Limited cache lines and limited presence bits

False Sharing

- Cache coherence occurs at the granularity of cache lines – an entire cache line is invalidated
- Modern day cache lines are 64 bytes in size
- Consider a Fortran program dealing with a matrix
- Assume each thread or process accessing a row of a matrix
- Leads to false sharing

False sharing: Solutions

- Reorganize the code so that each processor access a set of rows
- Can still lead to overlapping of cache lines if matrix size not divisible by processors
- In such cases, employ padding
- Padding: dummy elements added to make the matrix size divisible

INTERCONNECTION NETWORKS

Interconnects

- Used in both shared memory and distributed memory architectures
- In shared memory: Used to connect processors to memory
- In distributed memory: Used to connect different processors

Components

- Interface (PCI or PCI-e): for connecting processor to network link
- Network link connected to a communication network (network of connections)

Communication network

- Consists of switching elements to which processors are connected through ports
- Switch: network of switching elements
- Switching elements connected with each other using a pattern of connections
- Pattern defines the network topology
- In shared memory systems, memory units are also connected to communication network

Parallel Architecture: Interconnections

- Routing techniques: how the route taken by the message from source to destination is decided
- Network topologies
 - Static point-to-point communication links among processing nodes
 - Dynamic Communication links are formed dynamically by switches

Network Topologies

Static

- Bus
- Completely connected
- Star
- Linear array, Ring (1-D torus)
- Mesh
- k-d mesh: d dimensions with k nodes in each dimension
- Hypercubes 2-logp mesh
- Trees our campus network
- Dynamic Communication links are formed dynamically by switches
 - Crossbar
 - Multistage
- For more details, and evaluation of topologies, refer to book by Grama et al.

Network Topologies

 Bus, ring – used in smallscale shared memory systems

 Crossbar switch – used in some small-scale shared memory machines, small or medium-scale distributed memory

machines





Crossbar Switch

- Consists of 2D grid of switching elements
- Each switching element consists of 2 input ports and 2 output ports
- An input port dynamically connected to an output port through a switching logic



Multistage network – Omega network

- To reduce switching complexity
- Omega network consisting of logP stages, each consisting of P/2 switching elements



In crossbar – nonblocking

In Omega – can occur during multiple communications to disjoint pairs

Mesh, Torus, Hypercubes, Fat-tree

- Commonly used network topologies in distributed memory architectures
- Hypercubes are networks with dimensions

Mesh, Torus, Hypercubes



Fat Tree Networks

- Binary tree
- Processors arranged in leaves
- Other nodes correspond to switches
- Fundamental property: No. of links from a node to a children = no. of links from the node to its parent
- Edges become fatter as we traverse up the tree

Switch

Fat Tree Networks

- Any pairs of processors can communicate without contention: non-blocking network
- Constant Bisection Bandwidth (CBB) networks
- Two level fat tree has a diameter of four

Evaluating Interconnection topologies

- Diameter maximum distance between any two processing nodes
 - □ Full-connected 1
 - □ Star 2
 - □ Ring p/2
 - Hypercube logP
- Connectivity multiplicity of paths between 2 nodes. Miniimum number of arcs to be removed from network to break it into two disconnected networks
 - Linear-array 1
 - Ring 2
 - 2-d mesh 2
 - 2-d mesh with wraparound 4
 - D-dimension hypercubes d

Evaluating Interconnection topologies

- bisection width minimum number of links to be removed from network to partition it into 2 equal halves
 - □ Ring 2
 - P-node 2-D mesh Root(P)
 - Tree -1
 - Star 1
 - □ Completely connected P²/4
 - □ Hypercubes _{P/2}

Evaluating Interconnection topologies

- channel width number of bits that can be simultaneously communicated over a link, i.e. number of physical wires between 2 nodes
- channel rate performance of a single physical wire
- channel bandwidth channel rate times channel width
- bisection bandwidth maximum volume of communication between two halves of network, i.e. bisection width times channel bandwidth